

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/194,356	09/02/1999	DARIO NERI	515-4132	3100
23599	23599 7590 02/17/2006		EXAMINER	
	WHITE, ZELANO & BR.	HARRIS, ALANA M		
2200 CLAR SUITE 1400	ENDON BLVD.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON, VA 22201			1643	
			DATE MAILED: 02/17/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/194,356	NERI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Alana M. Harris, Ph.D.	1643		

	Alana M. Harris, Ph.D.	1643					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 28 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI	THE REPLY FILED 28 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no							
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a	a) and the appropriate extension	ension fee have				
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL			_				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	f will not be ontored	haaausa				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	, but prior to the date of filling a Drie	or, will <u>not</u> be entered	because				
(a) They raise hew issues that would require further of the first the issue of new matter (see NOTE held	om).	TE BOIOW),					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for							
 appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a 	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-	0 igotimes will not be entered, or b) $igotimes $ vovided below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <u>38-42 and 46</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected to: <u>30 42 and 45</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>30-37,43-45,47,53-55 and 57-61</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	avit or other evidence	is necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe iry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant to See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	alls to provide a (1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. A The request for reconsideration has been considered b See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allow	ance because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:			J				
		Overno	ODIS DH D.				
		ALANA M. H. PRIMARY	EXAMINER				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Remarks filed December 28, 2005 includes a letter from Dr. Sekiguchi, which is not recognized by the Office as a formal declaration. Notwithstanding, as noted in the Final Rejection mailed November 21, 2005 the rejection of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) is a statutory bar and cannot be overcome by an affidavit or declaration, see MPEP 2133.02.